arXiv:1702.05131v1 [math.NT] 16 Feb 2017

WEIERSTRASS POINTS ON $X_0^+(p)$ AND SUPERSINGULAR j-INVARIANTS

STEPHANIE TRENEER

Abstract. We study the arithmetic properties of Weierstrass points on the modular curves $X_0^+(p)$ for primes p. In particular, we obtain a relationship between the Weierstrass points on $X_0^+(p)$ and the j-invariants of supersingular elliptic curves in characteristic p.

1. Introduction

A *Weierstrass point* on a compact Riemann surface M of genus g is a point $Q \in M$ at which some holomorphic differential ω vanishes to order at least g. Weierstrass points can be identified by observing their weight. Let $\mathcal{H}^1(M)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of holomorphic differentials on M of dimension g. If $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_g\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{H}^1(M)$ adapted to $Q \in M$, so that

$$0 = \mathrm{ord}_Q(\omega_1) < \mathrm{ord}_Q(\omega_2) < \cdots < \mathrm{ord}_Q(\omega_g),$$

then we define the *Weierstrass weight* of Q to be

$$\mathrm{wt}(Q) := \sum_{j=1}^{g} (\mathrm{ord}_Q(\omega_j) - j + 1).$$

We see that $\mathrm{wt}(Q) > 0$ if and only if Q is a Weierstrass point of M. The Weierstrass weight is independent of the choice of basis, and it is known that

$$\sum_{Q \in M} \mathrm{wt}(Q) = g^3 - g.$$

Hence each Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ must have Weierstrass points. For these and other facts, see Section III.5 of [9].

We will consider Weierstrass points on modular curves, a class of Riemann surfaces which are of wide interest in number theory. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the complex upper half-plane. The modular group $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ by linear fractional transformations $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. If $N \geq 1$ is an integer, then we define the congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_0(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

The quotient of the action of $\Gamma_0(N)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is the Riemann surface $Y_0(N) := \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and its compactification is $X_0(N)$. The modular curve $X_0(N)$ can be viewed as the moduli space of elliptic curves equipped with a level N structure. Specifically, the points of $X_0(N)$ parameterize isomorphism classes of pairs (E, C) where E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order N.

Weierstrass points on $X_0(N)$ have been studied by a number of authors (see, for example, [14], [5], [6], [19], [16], [21], [22], [12], [13], [3], [4], and [10]). An interesting open question is to determine those N for which the cusp ∞ is a Weierstrass point. Lehner and Newman

Key words and phrases. Weierstrass points, modular curves.

[14] and Atkin [5] showed that ∞ is a Weierstrass point for most non-squarefree N, while Atkin [6] proved that ∞ is not a Weierstrass point when N is prime.

Most central to the present paper is the connection between Weierstrass points and supersingular elliptic curves. Ogg [19] showed that for modular curves $X_0(pM)$ where p is a prime with $p \nmid M$ and with the genus of $X_0(M)$ equal to 0, the Weierstrass points of $X_0(pM)$ occur at points whose underlying elliptic curve is supersingular when reduced modulo p. So in particular, ∞ is not a Weierstrass point in these cases, extending [6]. This has recently been confirmed by Ahlgren, Masri and Rouse [2] using a non-geometric proof. Ahlgren and Ono [3] showed for the $M = 1$ case that in fact all supersingular elliptic curves modulo p correspond to Weierstrass points of $X_0(p)$, and they demonstrated a precise correspondence between the two sets. In order to state their result, we make the following definitions.

For p and M as above, let

$$F_{pM}(x) := \prod_{Q \in Y_0(N)} (x - j(Q))^{\mathrm{wt}(Q)},$$

where $j(z) = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + \cdots$ is the usual elliptic modular function defined on Γ, and $j(Q) = j(\tau)$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ with $Q = \Gamma_0(N)\tau$. This is the divisor polynomial for the Weierstrass points of $Y_0(N)$. Next, for a prime p we define

$$S_p(x) := \prod_{\substack{E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \\ \text{supersingular}}} (x - j(E)) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x],$$

where the product is over all $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves. It is well known that $S_p(x)$ has degree $g_p + 1$, where g_p is the genus of $X_0(p)$. Ahlgren and Ono [3] proved the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If p is prime, then $F_p(x)$ has p-integral rational coefficients and*

$$F_p(x) \equiv S_p(x)^{g_p(g_p-1)} \pmod{p}.$$

El-Guindy [8] generalized Theorem 1.1 to those cases where M is squarefree, showing that $F_{pM}(x)$ has p-integral rational coefficients and is divisible by $\widetilde{S}_p(x)^{\mu(M)g_{pM}(g_{pM}-1)}$, where $\mu(M) := [\Gamma : \Gamma_0(M)]$ and g_{pM} is the genus of $X_0(pM)$, and where

$$\widetilde{S}_p(x) := \prod_{\substack{E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \text{ supersingular} \\ j(E) \neq 0,1728}} (x - j(E)). \tag{1.1}$$

He also gave an explicit factorization of $F_{pM}(x)$ in most cases where M is prime. Generalizing Theorem 1.1 in a different direction, Ahlgren and Papanikolas [4] gave a similar result for higher order Weierstrass points on $X_0(p)$, which are defined in relation to higher order differentials.

In this paper we consider the modular curve $X_0^+(p)$, the quotient space of $X_0(p)$ under the action of the Atkin-Lehner involution w_p, which maps $\tau \mapsto -1/p\tau$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. There is a natural projection map $\pi : X_0(p) \to X_0^+(p)$ which sends a point $Q \in X_0(p)$ to its equivalence class $\pi(Q) = \overline{Q}$ in $X_0^+(p)$. This is a 2-to-1 mapping, ramified at those points $Q \in X_0(p)$ that remain fixed by w_p. Therefore we set

$$v(Q) := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } w_p(Q) = Q, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

so that $v(Q)$ is equal to the multiplicity of the map π at Q. We now define a divisor polynomial for the Weierstrass points of $X_0^+(p)$. We will set our product to be over $X_0(p)$ rather than $X_0^+(p)$ to preserve the desired p-integrality of the coefficients. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_p(x) := \prod_{Q \in Y_0(p)} (x - j(Q))^{v(Q)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q})},$$

where $\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q})$ is the Weierstrass weight of the image $\overline{Q}$ of Q in $X_0^+(p)$. The zeros of this polynomial capture those non-cuspidal points of $X_0(p)$ which map to Weierstrass points in $X_0^+(p)$. The two cusps of $X_0(p)$ at 0 and ∞ are interchanged by w_p, so that $X_0^+(p)$ has a single cusp at ∞, which may or may not be a Weierstrass point. Atkin checked all primes $p \leq 883$ and conjectured that ∞ is a Weierstrass point for all $p > 389$. Stein has confirmed this for all $p < 3000$, and his table of results can be found in [25]. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_p(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2((g_p^+)^3 - g_p^+ - \mathrm{wt}(\infty))$, where g_p^+ is the genus of $X_0^+(p)$.

Recalling that the supersingular polynomial $S_p(x)$ factors over $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ into linear and irreducible quadratic factors, we separate these factors by defining

$$S_p^{(l)}(x) := \prod_{\substack{E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \text{ supersingular} \\ j(E) \in \mathbb{F}_p}} (x - j(E)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad S_p^{(q)}(x) := \prod_{\substack{E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \text{ supersingular} \\ j(E) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p}} (x - j(E)),$$

so that $S_p(x) = S_p^{(l)}(x) \cdot S_p^{(q)}(x)$. Our main theorem gives an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for $\mathcal{F}_p(x)$. We require an assumption that $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$ has a *good basis*, a condition about p-integrality which we define later in Section 4. Computations suggest that most, if not all, such spaces satsify this condition. Indeed, each $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$ with $p < 3200$ has a good basis.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be prime and suppose that $\mathcal{H}(X_0^+(p))$ has a good basis. Then $\mathcal{F}_p(x)$ has p-integral rational coefficients, and there exists a polynomial $H(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that*

$$\mathcal{F}_p(x) \equiv S_p^{(q)}(x)^{g_p^+(g_p^+-1)} \cdot H(x)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Note. From computational evidence, it appears that $H(x)$ is always coprime to $S_p(x)$, so that contrary to the situation on $X_0(p)$, only those supersingular points with quadratic irrational j-invariants correspond to Weierstrass points of $X_0^+(p)$. We give a heuristic argument for this phenomenon in Section 3.

In Section 2 we start by reviewing some preliminary facts about divisors of polynomials of modular forms. We then consider the reduction of $X_0(p)$ modulo p in Section 3 in order to obtain a key result about the w_p-fixed points of $X_0(p)$. In Section 4 we describe our good basis condition for $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$. Next, in Section 5 we derive a special cusp form on $\Gamma_0(p)$ which encodes the Weierstrass weights of points on $X_0^+(p)$. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.2, and in Section 7, we demonstrate Theorem 1.2 for the curve $X_0^+(67)$.

2. Divisor polynomials of modular forms

Let M_k (resp. $M_k(p)$) denote the space of modular forms of weight k on Γ (resp. $\Gamma_0(p)$), and let S_k (resp. $S_k(p)$) be the subspace of cusp forms. For even $k \geq 4$, the Eisenstein series $E_k \in M_k$ is defined as

$$E_k(z) := 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n,$$

where B_k is the kth Bernoulli number, and $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}$. Then the function

$$\Delta(z) := \frac{E_4(z)^3 - E_6(z)^2}{1728} = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \cdots$$

is the unique normalized cusp form in S_{12}.

We briefly recall how to build a divisor polynomial whose zeros are exactly the j-values at which a given modular form $f \in M_k$ vanishes, excluding those zeros that may occur at the elliptic points i and $\rho := e^{2\pi i/3}$ (for details, see [3] or Section 2.6 of [20]). We define

$$\widetilde{E}_k(z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{12}, \\ E_4(z)^2 E_6(z) & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}, \\ E_4(z) & \text{if } k \equiv 4 \pmod{12}, \\ E_6(z) & \text{if } k \equiv 6 \pmod{12}, \\ E_4(z)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 8 \pmod{12}, \\ E_4(z)E_6(z) & \text{if } k \equiv 10 \pmod{12}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$m(k) := \begin{cases} \lfloor k/12 \rfloor & \text{if } k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12}, \\ \lfloor k/12 \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Now let $f \in M_k$ have leading coefficient 1. Then we note that (2.1) and (2.2) are defined such that the quotient

$$\widetilde{F}(f, j(z)) := \frac{f(z)}{\Delta(z)^{m(k)} \widetilde{E}_k(z)} \tag{2.3}$$

is a polynomial in $j(z)$. Therefore, we define $\widetilde{F}(f, x)$ to be the unique polynomial in x satisfying (2.3). Furthermore, if f has p-integral rational coefficients, then so does $\widetilde{F}(f, x)$.

Finally, we record a result about the divisor polynomial of the square of a modular form.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in M_k$. Then*

$$\widetilde{F}(f^2, x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(f, x)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{12}, \\ x(x - 1728)\widetilde{F}(f, x)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}, \\ \widetilde{F}(f, x)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 4 \pmod{12}, \\ (x - 1728)\widetilde{F}(f, x)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 6 \pmod{12}, \\ x\widetilde{F}(f, x)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 8 \pmod{12}, \\ (x - 1728)\widetilde{F}(f, x)^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 10 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using (2.3) for both f and f^2 yields

$$f(z)^2 = \Delta(z)^{2m(k)} \widetilde{E}_k(z)^2 \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2,$$

and

$$f(z)^2 = \Delta(z)^{m(2k)} \widetilde{E}_{2k}(z) \widetilde{F}(f^2, j(z)).$$

Thus

$$\widetilde{F}(f^2, j(z)) = \Delta(z)^{2m(k)-m(2k)} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{E}_k(z)^2}{\widetilde{E}_{2k}(z)} \cdot \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2.$$

Then by (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\widetilde{F}(f^2, j(z)) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{12}, \\ \Delta(z)^{-2} E_4(z)^3 E_6(z)^2 \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}, \\ \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 4 \pmod{12}, \\ \Delta(z)^{-1} E_6(z)^2 \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 6 \pmod{12}, \\ \Delta(z)^{-1} E_4(z)^3 \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 8 \pmod{12}, \\ \Delta(z)^{-1} E_6(z)^2 \widetilde{F}(f, j(z))^2 & \text{if } k \equiv 10 \pmod{12}, \end{cases}$$

Since $j(z) = \frac{E_4(z)^3}{\Delta(z)}$ and $j(z) - 1728 = \frac{E_6(z)^2}{\Delta(z)}$, the result follows. □

3. Modular curves modulo p

Here we recall the undesingularized reduction of $X_0(p)$ modulo p, due to Deligne and Rapoport [7]. The description below closely follows one given by Ogg [18]. The model of $X_0(p)$ modulo p consists of two copies of $X_0(1)$ which meet transversally in the supersingular points (Figure 1).

The Atkin-Lehner operator w_p is compatible with this reduction. It gives an isomorphism between the two copies of $X_0(1)$ which preserves the supersingular locus, by fixing the points corresponding to supersingular curves defined over F_p, and interchanging those defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \backslash \mathbb{F}_p$ with their conjugates. Therefore, dividing out by the action of w_p glues together the two copies of $X_0(1)$. The singularities at the linear supersingular points are thus resolved, while the conjugate pairs of quadratic supersingular points are glued together. This results in a model for the reduction modulo p of $X_0^+(p)$ consisting of one copy of $X_0(1)$ which self-intersects at each point representing a pair of conjugate quadratic supersingular points (Figure 2). This resolution at the linear supersingular points may explain their absence among the Weierstrass points of $X_0^+(p)$.


To make the correspondence between fixed points and linear supersingular j-invariants more precise, let $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}(D + \sqrt{-D})]$ be the order of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-D}]$ with discriminant $-D < 0$. The Hilbert class polynomial $\mathcal{H}_D(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the monic polynomial whose zeros are exactly the j-invariants of the distinct isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$, and its degree is $h(-D)$, the class number of $\mathcal{O}_D$.

The points $Q \in Y_0(p)$ that are fixed by w_p correspond to pairs (E, C) such that E admits complex multiplication by $\sqrt{-p}$, or in other words, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ embeds in $\mathrm{End}(E)$, the endomorphism ring of E over the complex numbers (see e.g. [16]). Since $\mathrm{End}(E)$ must be an

Figure 1. Reduction of $X_0(p)$



FIGURE 2. Reduction of $X_0^+(p)$



order in an imaginary quadratic field, we have

$$\mathrm{End}(E) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{4p} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \mathcal{O}_p \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{4p} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Now define

$$H_p(x) := \prod_{\substack{\tau \in \Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ v(Q_\tau)=2}} (x - j(\tau)), \tag{3.1}$$

the monic polynomial whose zeros are precisely the j-invariants of the w_p-fixed points of $Y_0(p)$. Then we have

$$H_p(x) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{4p}(x) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \mathcal{H}_p(x) \cdot \mathcal{H}_{4p}(x) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

The following result is due independently to Kaneko and Zagier.

Proposition 3.1. *For p prime, there exists a monic polynomial $T(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ with distinct roots such that $H_p(x) \equiv T(x)^2 \pmod p$.*

Proof. The result follow from Kronecker's relations on the modular equation $\Phi_p(X, Y)$, and may be found in the appendix of [11]. □

We can now prove the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let p be prime. Then we have*

$$H_p(x) \equiv S_p^{(l)}(x)^2 \pmod p.$$

Proof. By the discussion above, each zero of $H_p(x)$ is of the form $j(E)$ where E is a supersingular elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then by Proposition 3.1, $T(x) \mid S_p^{(l)}(x)$. We will show that $T(x)$ and $S_p^{(l)}(x)$ have the same degree, proving that $T(x) = S_p^{(l)}(x)$. The result then follows again by Proposition 3.1.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (see, for example, Section I.2 of [9]), we have

$$2g_p^+ = g_p + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where σ is the number of points of $X_0(p)$ at which the projection $\pi : X_0(p) \to X_0^+(p)$ is ramified, or in other words, the number of w_p-fixed points of $X_0(p)$. We note that the cusps

are not ramified since w_p exchanges 0 and ∞, so $\sigma = \deg(H_p(x))$. On the other hand, Ogg explains in [17] that g_p^+ is equal to the number of conjugate pairs of supersingular j-invariants in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}\backslash\mathbb{F}_p$. Since there are $g_p + 1$ total supersingular j-invariants, we have

$$2g_p^+ = g_p + 1 - \deg(S_p^{(l)}(x)). \tag{3.3}$$

Then Proposition 3.1, (3.2) and (3.3) imply that

$$\deg(T(x)) = \frac{\deg(H_p(x))}{2} = \deg(S_p^{(l)}(x)).$$

□

4. A Good Basis for $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$

For ease of notation we will let $g := g_p^+$ for the rest of the paper, and assume that $g \geq 2$. Recall that g is the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$, the space of holomorphic 1-forms on $X_0^+(p)$. Let $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_g\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$, where $\omega_i = h_i(u)du$ for some local variable u. In order to take advantage of the correspondence that exists between holomorphic 1-forms on $X_0(p)$ and weight 2 cusp forms of level p, we pull back each ω_i to a holomorphic 1-form $\pi^*\omega_i$ on $X_0(p)$ via the projection map $\pi : X_0(p) \to X_0^+(p)$ (see, for example, Chapter 2 of [15]). We can choose a local coordinate z at $Q \in X_0(p)$ so that near Q, $u = z^n$, where n is the multiplicity of π at $\mathbb{Q}$, hence $n = v(Q)$ (1.2). Then we have $\pi^*\omega_i = H_i(z)dz$ with $H_i(z) = h_i(z^n)nz^{n-1} \in S_2(p)$. Since each $H_i(z)$ has been pulled back from $X_0^+(p)$, it must be invariant under w_p, so it is a member of $S_2^+(p)$, the subspace of w_p-invariant cusp forms of weight 2. In fact, it is straightforward to show that $\{H_1(z), H_2(z), \ldots, H_g(z)\}$ forms a basis for $S_2^+(p)$.

It will be helpful later on to specify a basis for $S_2^+(p)$ of a particularly nice form. First, we can guarantee a basis with rational Fourier coefficients by the following argument. The space $S_2(p)$ has a basis consisting of newforms. Let $f(z) = \sum_n a(n)q^n$ be a newform for $S_2(p)$, and let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$. Then $f^\sigma(z) = \sum_n \sigma(a(n))q^n$ is also a newform for $S_2(p)$, so the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ partitions the newforms into Galois conjugacy classes. If two newforms are Galois conjugates then they share the same eigenvalue for w_p. Let V_f be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space spanned by the Galois conjugates of f. Standard Galois-theoretic arguments show that V_f has a basis consisting of cusp forms with rational coefficients. These are no longer newforms, but as they are linear combinations of the Galois conjugates of f, they are still eigenforms for w_p. Therefore collecting such a basis for each Galois conjugacy class with eigenvalue 1 for w_p yields a basis for $S_2^+(p)$ with rational Fourier coefficients.

We can determine such a basis $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g\}$ uniquely by requiring that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= q^{c_1} + O(q^{c_g+1}) \\ f_2(z) &= q^{c_2} + O(q^{c_g+1}) \\ &\vdots \\ f_g(z) &= q^{c_g} + O(q^{c_g+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_g. \tag{4.2}$$

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$ has a *good basis* if the cusp forms $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g$ satisfying (4.1) and (4.2) have p-integral Fourier coefficients.

5. Wronskians and p-integrality

Given any basis $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_g\}$ for $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$ with $\omega_i = h_i(u)du$, we define the Wronskian

$$W(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_g)(u) := \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & \cdots & h_g \\ h_1' & h_2' & \cdots & h_g' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_1^{(g-1)} & h_2^{(g-1)} & \cdots & h_g^{(g-1)} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{W}^+(u)$ be the scalar multiple of $W(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_g)(u)$ with leading coefficient 1, so that $\mathcal{W}^+(u)$ is independent of the choice of basis. It is well-known that the Wronskian encodes the Weierstrass weights of points in $X_0^+(p)$ (see [9], page 82). Specifically,

$$\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q}) = \mathrm{ord}_{\overline{Q}}(\mathcal{W}^+(u)(du)^{g(g+1)/2}).$$

Since it is advantageous to work on $X_0(p)$ instead of $X_0^+(p)$, we consider the pullback of $W^+ := \mathcal{W}^+(u)(du)^{g(g+1)/2}$ to $X_0(p)$ via π, which is $\pi^*W^+ = \mathcal{W}^+(z^n)(nz^{n-1}dz)^{g(g+1)/2}$. Recalling that $n = v(Q)$ when z is near Q, we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_Q(\pi^*W^+) = v(Q)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q) - 1). \tag{5.2}$$

Alternatively, we could pull back each ω_i individually to $\pi^*\omega_i = H_i(z)dz$ as in Section 4. Then we can form the Wronskian $W(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_g)(z)$ (defined analogously to (5.1)). Since the H_i are cusp forms of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(p)$ then $W(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_g)(z)$ is a cusp form of weight $g(g+1)$ for $\Gamma_0(p)$. It can be shown using basic facts about determinants that

$$W(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_g)(z)(dz)^{g(g+1)/2} = W(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_g)(z^n)(nz^{n-1}dz)^{g(g+1)/2}.$$

Now let $\mathcal{W}_p(z)$ be the multiple of $W(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_g)(z)$ with leading coefficient 1. Then $\mathcal{W}_p(z)$ is independent of the choice of basis for $S_2^+(p)$, and we have $\mathcal{W}_p(z)(dz)^{g(g+1)/2} = \pi^*W^+$, hence by (5.2),

$$\mathrm{ord}_Q(\mathcal{W}_p(z)(dz)^{g(g+1)/2}) = v(Q)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q) - 1). \tag{5.3}$$

We next see the advantage of having a good basis for $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let p be a prime such that $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$ has a good basis. Then $\mathcal{W}_p(z) \in S_{g(g+1)}(p)$ has p-integral rational coefficients.*

Proof. Here we closely follow the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [4]. Let $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g\}$ be a basis for $S_2^+(p)$ satisfying (4.1) and (4.2). Let $\theta := q\frac{d}{dq}$ be the usual differential operator for modular forms, so that $\frac{d}{dz} = 2\pi i\theta$. Then by properties of determinants, we have

$$W(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g) = (2\pi i)^{g(g-1)/2} \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_g \\ \theta f_1 & \theta f_2 & \cdots & \theta f_g \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta f_1^{(g-1)} & \theta f_2^{(g-1)} & \cdots & \theta f_g^{(g-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

We see that the Fourier expansion of $\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{g(g-1)/2} W(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g)$ has rational p-integral coefficients, with leading coefficient given by the Vandermonde determinant

$$V := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_g \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_1^{(g-1)} & c_2^{(g-1)} & \cdots & c_g^{(g-1)} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le j < k \le g} (c_k - c_j). \tag{5.4}$$

It now suffices to show that p does not divide the leading coefficient. By Sturm's bound [26] for the order of vanishing modulo p for modular forms on $\Gamma_0(p)$, we have $1 \le c_i \le \frac{p+1}{6} < p$ for each $1 \le i \le g$, so $1 \le c_k - c_j \le p-1$ for all $j < k$. Therefore the lemma is proved. □

6. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let p be a prime for which $\mathcal{H}^1(X_0^+(p))$ has a good basis. We note that when $g < 2$, there are no Weierstrass points on $X_0^+(p)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_p(x) = 1$ and $g^2 - g = 0$, so the theorem holds trivially by taking $H(x) = 1$. Thus from here on we will assume that $g \ge 2$, in which case we have $p \ge 67$.

We first adapt two lemmas from [3]. For any meromorphic function $f(z)$ defined on $\mathbb{H}$ and any integer k, we define the *slash* operator $|_k$ by

$$f(z)|_k\gamma := (\det \gamma)^{k/2}(cz+d)^{-k} f(\gamma z),$$

where $\gamma := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is a real matrix with positive determinant, and $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. In particular, the Atkin-Lehner involution w_p is given by $f \mapsto f|_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ when f is a modular form of weight k.

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{W}_p(z)|_{g(g+1)} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \mathcal{W}_p(z).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to Lemma 3.2 of [3] except that $f|_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = f$ for every newform f in $S_2^+(p)$. □

Lemma 6.2. *If p is a prime such that $X_0^+(p)$ has genus at least 2, define*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z) := \prod_{A \in \Gamma_0(p)\backslash\Gamma} \mathcal{W}_p(z)|_{g(g+1)} A,$$

normalized to have leading coefficient 1. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)$ is a cusp form of weight $g(g+1)(p+1)$ on Γ with p-integral rational coefficients, and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}_p(z)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. This follows from our Lemma 6.1 exactly as Lemma 3.3 follows from Lemma 3.2 in [3]. □

We again consider a basis $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g\}$ for $S_2^+(p)$ satisfying (4.1) and (4.2). For each f_i, there is a cusp form $b_i \in S_{p+1}$ with p-integral rational coefficients for which $f_i \equiv b_i \pmod{p}$ ([4], Theorem 4.1(c)). Define $W(z)$ to be the multiple of $W(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_g)$ with leading coefficient 1. By the same reasoning as in Theorem 5.1, $\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{g(g-1)/2} W(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_g)$ has

p-integral rational coefficients and leading coefficient V (5.4). Since the differential operator θ preserves congruences, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{g(g-1)/2} W(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{g(g-1)/2} W(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_g) \pmod{p},$$

and hence

$$V \cdot \mathcal{W}_p(z) \equiv V \cdot W(z) \pmod{p}.$$

Since V is coprime to p, then by Lemma 6.2 we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}_p(z)^2 \equiv W(z)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

We now have two cusp forms $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)$ and $W(z)^2$ on the full modular group, but $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)$ has weight $\tilde{k}(p) := g(g+1)(p+1)$ while $W(z)^2$ has weight $2g(g+p)$. Using the fact that the Eisenstein series $E_{p-1}(z) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z) \equiv W(z)^2 \cdot E_{p-1}(z)^{g^2-g} \pmod{p}, \tag{6.1}$$

where the cusp forms on each side of the congruence in (6.1) have the same weight $\tilde{k}(p)$. By (2.3) there exist polynomials $\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(x), x)$ and $\widetilde{F}(W^2 E_{p-1}^{g^2-g}, x)$ with p-integral rational coefficients such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z) = \Delta(z)^{m(\tilde{k}(p))} \widetilde{E}_{\tilde{k}(p)}(z) \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p, j(z))$$

and

$$W(z)^2 E_{p-1}(z)^{g^2-g} = \Delta(z)^{m(\tilde{k}(p))} \widetilde{E}_{\tilde{k}(p)}(z) \widetilde{F}(W^2 E_{p-1}^{g^2-g}, j(z)).$$

Then by (6.1), we conclude that

$$\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p, x) \equiv \widetilde{F}(W^2 E_{p-1}^{g^2-g}, x) \pmod{p}. \tag{6.2}$$

We next compute each side of (6.2). To compute the right hand side, we begin with the following.

Lemma 6.3. (Theorem 2.3 in [3]) *For a prime $p \geq 5$ and $f \in M_k$ with p-integral coefficients, we have*

$$\widetilde{F}(f E_{p-1}, x) \equiv \widetilde{F}(E_{p-1}, x) \cdot \widetilde{F}(f, x) \cdot C_p(k; x) \pmod{p}$$

where

$$C_p(k;x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } (k,p) \equiv (2,5),\ (8,5),\ (8,11) \pmod{12}, \\ x - 1728 & \text{if } (k,p) \equiv (2,7),\ (6,7),\ (10,7),\ (6,11),\ (10,11) \pmod{12}, \\ x(x-1728) & \text{if } (k,p) \equiv (2,11) \pmod{12}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then using Lemma 6.3 inductively, we have

$$\widetilde{F}(W^2 \cdot E_{p-1}^{g^2-g}, x) \equiv \widetilde{F}(E_{p-1}, x)^{g^2-g} \cdot \widetilde{F}(W^2, x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_p(x) \pmod{p},$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_p(x) := \prod_{s=1}^{g^2-g} C_p(2g(g+p) + (g^2 - g - s)(p-1); x).$$

A case-by-case computation reveals that

$$\mathcal{G}_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ x^{\lceil \frac{g^2-g}{3} \rceil} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \\ (x-1728)^{(g^2-g)/2} & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}, \\ x^{\lceil \frac{g^2-g}{3} \rceil}(x-1728)^{(g^2-g)/2} & \text{if } p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

By a result of Deligne (see [23]), and recalling (1.1), we have

$$\widetilde{F}(E_{p-1}, x) \equiv \widetilde{S}_p(x) \pmod{p},$$

and therefore

$$\widetilde{F}(W^2 E_{p-1}^{g^2-g}, x) \equiv \widetilde{S}_p(x)^{g^2-g} \cdot \widetilde{F}(W^2, x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_p(x) \pmod{p}. \tag{6.3}$$

Next we evaluate the left-hand side of (6.2). We recall here the definitions

$$\mathcal{F}_p(x) := \prod_{Q \in Y_0(p)} (x - j(Q))^{v(Q)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q})},$$

and

$$H_p(x) := \prod_{\substack{\tau \in \Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ v(Q_\tau)=2}} (x - j(\tau)).$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let p be a prime such that the genus of $X_0^+(p)$ is at least 2. Define $\epsilon_p(i)$ and $\epsilon_p(\rho)$ by*

$$\epsilon_p(i) = \frac{(g^2+g)\left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\right)}{4},$$

and

$$\epsilon_p(\rho) = \frac{(g^2+g)\left(1 + \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right) - k^*}{3},$$

where $k^* \in \{0,1,2\}$ with $k^* \equiv \tilde{k}(p) \pmod 3$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}_p}, x) = x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}\mathcal{F}_p(x)H_p(x)^{g(g+1)/2}.$$

Proof. If $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $A \in \Gamma$, then

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\tau_0}(\mathcal{W}_p(z) \mid_{g(g+1)} A) = \mathrm{ord}_{A(\tau_0)}(\mathcal{W}_p(z)),$$

so that

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\tau_0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}_p}(z)) = \sum_{A \in \Gamma_0(p)\backslash\Gamma} \mathrm{ord}_{A(\tau_0)}(\mathcal{W}_p(z)). \tag{6.4}$$

Now recall by (5.3) that for $Q \in Y_0(p)$, we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_Q(\mathcal{W}_p(z)(dz)^{g(g+1)/2}) = v(Q)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q)-1).$$

Let $\ell_\tau \in \{1,2,3\}$ be the order of the isotropy subgroup of τ in $\Gamma_0(p)/\{\pm I\}$, where τ is an elliptic fixed point if and only if $\ell(\tau) \neq 1$. If $Q_\tau \in Y_0(p)$ is associated to $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ in the usual way then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_\tau(\mathcal{W}_p(z)) &= \ell_\tau \text{ord}_{Q_\tau}(\mathcal{W}_p(z)(dz)^{g(g+1)/2}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(\ell_\tau - 1) \\ &= \ell_\tau v(Q_\tau)\text{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(\ell_\tau v(Q_\tau) - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

If τ_0 is not equivalent to i or ρ under Γ, then $\{A(\tau_0)\}_{A\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\Gamma}$ consists of $p+1$ points which are $\Gamma_0(p)$-inequivalent, so by (6.4) and (6.5),

$$\text{ord}_{\tau_0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)) = \sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\tau_0}} \text{ord}_\tau(\mathcal{W}_p(z)) = \sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\tau_0}} v(Q_\tau)\text{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q_\tau) - 1).$$

When $\tau_0 \overset{\Gamma}{\sim} \rho$, then $\text{ord}_{\tau_0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)) = \text{ord}_\rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z))$, and $\{A(\rho)\}_{A\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\Gamma}$ contains $1 + \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$ elliptic fixed points of order 3 which are $\Gamma_0(p)$-inequivalent, and $p - \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$ additional points which are partitioned into $\Gamma_0(p)$-orbits of size 3. Then by (6.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_\rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)) &= 3 \sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\rho,\ \ell(\tau)=1}} \text{ord}_\tau(\mathcal{W}_p(z)) + \sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\rho,\ \ell(\tau)=3}} \text{ord}_\tau(\mathcal{W}_p(z)) \\ &= 3 \sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\rho,\ \ell(\tau)=1}} \left(v(Q_\tau)\text{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q_\tau) - 1) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\rho,\ \ell(\tau)=3}} \left(3v(Q_\tau)\text{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(3v(Q_\tau) - 1) \right) \\ &= 3 \left(\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H} \\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}\rho}} v(Q_\tau)\text{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau}) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q_\tau) - 1) \right) + (g^2+g)\left(1 + \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

When $\tau_0 \overset{\Gamma}{\sim} i$, then $\text{ord}_{\tau_0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)) = \text{ord}_i(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z))$, and $\{A(i)\}_{A\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\Gamma}$ contains $1 + \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ elliptic fixed points of order 2 which are $\Gamma_0(p)$-inequivalent, and $p - \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ additional points

which are partitioned into $\Gamma_0(p)$-orbits of size 2. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}(6.7)\quad \mathrm{ord}_i(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p(z)) &= 2\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H}\\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}i,\ \ell(\tau)=1}}\mathrm{ord}_\tau(\mathcal{W}_p(z))+\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H}\\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}i,\ \ell(\tau)=2}}\mathrm{ord}_\tau(\mathcal{W}_p(z))\\ &= 2\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H}\\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}i,\ \ell(\tau)=1}}\left(v(Q_\tau)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau})+\frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q_\tau)-1)\right)\\ &\quad+\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H}\\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}i,\ \ell(\tau)=2}}\left(2v(Q_\tau)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau})+\frac{g(g+1)}{2}(2v(Q_\tau)-1)\right)\\ &= 2\left(\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H}\\ \tau\overset{\Gamma}{\sim}i}}v(Q_\tau)\mathrm{wt}(\overline{Q_\tau})+\frac{g(g+1)}{2}(v(Q_\tau)-1)\right)+\frac{g^2+g}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we recall that $j(z)$ vanishes to order 3 at $z=\rho$, that $j(z)-1728$ vanishes to order 2 at $z=i$, and that $j(z)-j(\tau_0)$ vanishes to order 1 at all other points $\tau_0\in\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$. Therefore the exponent of $x-j(\tau_0)$ in $\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p,x)$ is equal to

$$(6.8)\qquad \begin{cases}\mathrm{ord}_{\tau_0}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p & \text{if } \tau_0\neq i,\rho,\\ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ord}_i\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p & \text{if } \tau_0=i,\\ \frac{1}{3}(\mathrm{ord}_i\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p-k^*) & \text{if } \tau_0=\rho.\end{cases}$$

Therefore by (3.1), (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p,x) &= x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}\mathcal{F}_p(x)\prod_{\substack{\tau\in\Gamma_0(p)\backslash\mathbb{H}\\ v(Q_\tau)=2}}(x-j(\tau))^{g(g+1)/2}\\ &= x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}\mathcal{F}_p(x)H_p(x)^{g(g+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining (6.2), (6.3), Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 6.4 now yields

$$(6.9)\qquad x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}\mathcal{F}_p(x)S_p^{(l)}(x)^{g^2+g}\equiv\widetilde{S}_p(x)^{g^2-g}\cdot\widetilde{F}(W^2,x)\cdot\mathcal{G}_p(x)\pmod p.$$

We next define

$$\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x):=\prod_{\substack{E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p\text{ supersingular}\\ j(E)\in\mathbb{F}_p\backslash\{0,1728\}}}(x-j(E)).$$

In the chart below, we compare certain factors appearing in (6.9) for each choice of p modulo 12.

TABLE 1. Factors arising from elliptic points

$p \pmod{12}$	$x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}$	$(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}$	$\mathcal{G}_p(x)$	$S_p^{(l)}(x)$
1	$x^{\lfloor \frac{2(g^2+g)}{3} \rfloor}$	$(x-1728)^{(g^2+g)/2}$	1	$\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)$
5	1	$(x-1728)^{(g^2+g)/2}$	$x^{\lceil \frac{g^2-g}{3} \rceil}$	$x \cdot \widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)$
7	$x^{\lfloor \frac{2(g^2+g)}{3} \rfloor}$	1	$(x-1728)^{(g^2-g)/2}$	$(x-1728) \cdot \widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)$
11	1	1	$x^{\lceil \frac{g^2-g}{3} \rceil}(x-1728)^{(g^2-g)/2}$	$x(x-1728) \cdot \widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)$

Since both $\lceil \frac{g^2-g}{3} \rceil$ and $\frac{g^2-g}{2}$ are less than g^2+g, we see from Table 1 that $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ always divides $S_p^{(l)}(x)^{g^2+g}$. Then since x and $(x-1728)$ are coprime to $\widetilde{S}_p(x)$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}_p(x)\frac{S_p^{(l)}(x)^{g^2+g}}{\mathcal{G}_p(x)} \equiv \widetilde{S}_p(x)^{g^2-g}\frac{\widetilde{F}(W^2,x)}{x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}} \pmod{p}, \tag{6.10}$$

where the two quotients reduce to polynomials.

Now on the left, we write $S_p^{(l)}(x) = x^{\alpha_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\alpha_p(i)}\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)$ with $\alpha_p(\rho), \alpha_p(i) \in \{0,1\}$ according to p modulo 12, as in Table 1. On the right, we write $\widetilde{S}_p(x) = \widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)S^{(q)}(x)$. Then (6.10) becomes

(6.11)
$$\mathcal{F}_p(x)\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)^{g^2+g}\frac{(x^{\alpha_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\alpha_p(i)})^{g^2+g}}{\mathcal{G}_p(x)} \equiv \widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)^{g^2-g}S_p^{(q)}(x)^{g^2-g}\frac{\widetilde{F}(W^2,x)}{x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}} \pmod{p}.$$

Now the quotient on the left of (6.11) must divide $\widetilde{F}(W^2,x)$. Then cancelling $\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)^{g^2-g}$ on each side leaves $\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)^{2g}$ on the left, which must then divide $\widetilde{F}(W^2,x)$ as well. So (6.11) becomes

$$\mathcal{F}_p(x) \equiv S_p^{(q)}(x)^{g^2-g}H_1(x) \pmod{p},$$

where $H_1(x)$ is the polynomial given in non-reduced form by the quotient

$$H_1(x) := \frac{\mathcal{G}_p(x)\widetilde{F}(W^2,x)}{x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}(x^{\alpha_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\alpha_p(i)})^{g^2+g}\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)^{2g}}.$$

It remains to show that $H_1(x)$ is a perfect square. By Lemma 2.1, we write $\widetilde{F}(W^2,x) = x^{\delta_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\delta_p(i)}\widetilde{F}(W,x)^2$, where $\delta_p(\rho), \delta_p(i) \in \{0,1\}$ according to $g(g+p)$ modulo 12. We then decompose $H_1(x)$ into a product of two quotients,

$$H_1(x) = \frac{\mathcal{G}_p(x)x^{\delta_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\delta_p(i)}}{x^{\epsilon_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\epsilon_p(i)}} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{F}(W,x)^2}{(x^{\alpha_p(\rho)}(x-1728)^{\alpha_p(i)})^{g^2+g}\widetilde{S}_p^{(l)}(x)^{2g}}.$$

Note that the exponents in the right-hand quotient are all even. The quotient on the left is of the form $x^a(x-1728)^b$, where a and b are integers, possibly negative. It is sufficient to show that a and b are both even. An examination of the exponents reveals that the parity of a and b depend only on p and g modulo 12. A check of all possible combinations of these values using Table 1 and Lemma 2.1 confirms that a and b are indeed even in all cases, and

therefore we can write $H_1(x) = H(x)^2$ for some polynomial $H(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

7. The example for $X_0^+(67)$

Here we compute $\mathcal{F}_{67}(x)$, the divisor polynomial corresponding to the modular curve $X_0^+(67)$, which has genus 2. A basis for $S_2^+(67)$ is given by $\{f_1, f_2\}$, with

$$f_1 = q - 3q^3 - 3q^4 - 3q^5 + q^6 + 4q^7 + 3q^8 + \cdots,$$

and

$$f_2 = q^2 - q^3 - 3q^4 + 3q^7 + 4q^8 + \cdots.$$

The associated Wronskian is

$$\mathcal{W}_{67}(z) = q^3 - 2q^4 - 6q^5 + 6q^6 + 15q^7 + 8q^8 + \cdots \in S_6(67).$$

Then by Lemma 6.2 and (2.3), we have

$$\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{67}, x) \equiv x^4(x+1)^6(x+14)^6(x^2+8x+45)^2(x^2+44x+24)^2(x^2+10x+62)^2 \pmod{67}.$$

But $\epsilon_{67}(i) = 0$, $\epsilon_{67}(\rho) = 4$, and

$$S_{67}(x) = (x+1)(x+14)(x^2+8x+45)(x^2+44x+24).$$

Therefore by Theorem 6.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{67}(x) &\equiv (x^2+8x+45)^2(x^2+44x+24)^2(x^2+10x+62)^2 \pmod{67}\\ &\equiv S_{67}^{(q)}(x)^2(x^2+10x+62)^2 \pmod{67}.\end{aligned}$$

Note. In general, $H(x)$ may not be irreducible.

8. Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges Scott Ahlgren for his invaluable mentoring and for suggesting this problem in the first place.

References

[1] S. Ahlgren, The arithmetic of Weierstrass points on modular curves $X_0(p)$, 3–12, in *Galois Theory and Modular Forms*, Dev. Math., 11, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, 2004.
[2] S. Ahlgren, N. Masri and J. Rouse, Vanishing of modular forms at infinity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 4, 1205-1214.
[3] S. Ahlgren and K. Ono, Weierstrass points on $X_0(p)$ and supersingular j-invariants, *Math. Ann.* **325** (2003), 355–368.
[4] S. Ahlgren and M. Papanikolas, Higher Weierstrass points on $X_0(p)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 1521–1535.
[5] A. O. L. Atkin, Weierstrass points at cusps of $\Gamma_0(n)$, *Ann. of Math.* **85** (1967), 42–45.
[6] A. O. L. Atkin, Modular forms of weight 1 and supersingular equations, in *U.S.–Japan seminar on applications of automorphic forms to number theory*, Ann Arbor, June 1975.
[7] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport, Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques, in *Modular functions of one variable, II, (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, 143-316, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
[8] A. El-Guindy, Weierstrass points on $X_0(pM)$ and supersingular j-invariants, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **70** (2004), no. 1, 1–22.
[9] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra, *Riemann surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
[10] B.–H. Im, D. Jeon and C. H. Kim, Notes on Weierstrass points of modular curves $X_0(N)$, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **20** (2016), no. 6, 1275–1293.

[11] M. Kaneko, Supersingular j-invariants as singular moduli mod p, *Osaka J. Math.* **26** (1989), 849–855.
[12] W. Kohnen, A short remark on Weierstrass points at infinity on $X_0(N)$, *Monatsh. Math.* **143** (2004), no. 2, 163-167.
[13] W. Kohnen, Weierstrass points at cusps on special modular curves, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **73** (2003), 241-251.
[14] J. Lehner and M. Newman, Weierstrass points of $\Gamma_0(n)$, *Ann. of Math.* **79** (1964), 360–368.
[15] R. Miranda, *Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **5**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995.
[16] A. Ogg, Hyperelliptic modular curves, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **102** (1974), 449–462.
[17] A. Ogg, Modular functions, in *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979)*, pp. 521-532, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
[18] A. Ogg, On the reduction modulo p of $X_0(pM)$, in *U.S.–Japan seminar on applications of automorphic forms to number theory*, Ann Arbor, June 1975.
[19] A. Ogg, On the Weierstrass points of $X_0(N)$, *Illinois J. Math.*, **22** (1978), 31–35.
[20] K. Ono, *The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q-series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 102, published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
[21] D. E. Rohrlich, Some remarks on Weierstrass points, in *Number theory related to Fermat's last theorem (Cambridge, Mass., 1981)*, pp. 71-78, Progr. Math., 26, Birkhuser, Boston, Mass., 1982.
[22] D. E. Rohrlich, Weierstrass points and modular forms, *Illinois J. Math.* **29** (1985), 134–141.
[23] J.-P. Serre, Congruences et formes modulaires (d'après H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer), *Sm. Bourbaki*, **416** (1971–1972), 74–88.
[24] J.-P. Serre, Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta p-adiques, in *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972)*, pp. 191-268. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
[25] W. Stein, Weierstrass points on $X_0(p)^+$, http://wstein.org/Tables/weierstrass_point_plus. Accessed 13 February 2017.
[26] J. Sturm, On the congruence of modular forms, in *Number Theory (New York, 1984–85)*, 275-280, Lecture Notes in Math., 1240, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

Department of Mathematics, Western Washington University, Bellingham, WA 98225
E-mail address: stephanie.treneer@wwu.edu